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## PREFACE



## COLLIER COUNTY GOVERNMENT TRANSPORTATION SERVICES DIVISION

Traffic Operations and Alternative Transportation Modes Department 2705 Horseshoe Drive South • Naples, Florida 34104 •239-774-8192 • FAX 239.213.5899

May 4, 2004

Mr. Ken Bryan, Florida Director
Rails-to-Trails Conservancy
2545 Blairstone Pines Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32301

## RE: FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT GREENWAY

Dear Mr. Bryan:
The Greenway along the FPL corridor represents a unique opportunity for Collier County to partner with corporate neighbors and private citizens to create a multi-use trail for use by walkers, joggers, bicyclists, and in-line skaters. This linear park will beautify and enhance a corridor that provides electricity to the Collier County community.

It has been gratifying to see so many neighborhood associations and citizens actively participate in the discussions to conceptualize and realize the potential of this Greenway. It truly has been a grassroots effort by the adjoining community members to enhance and preserve their neighborhoods. Their support is not only key, but has driven the process thus far. Additionally, this project has the potential to serve as a model for other multi-use Greenway trails throughout the County.

We look forward to the completion of a World Class Greenway for use by our community members.

Sincerely,

Diane B. Flagg, Director
Traffic Operations and Alternative Transportation Modes Department


## INTRODUCTION

Collier County is ripe for trail development. An active, health conscience population, beautiful weather year-round and a unique and fragile environment make the county ideal for creating "green infrastructure." As development pressures continue, it is vital that the county begin to plan, design and implement a strategic plan for trail, greenway and open space systems approach. By preserving and connecting available natural resources now, Collier County can ensure a more livable and sustainable future for its children and families.

## PURPOSE AND VISION

The Florida Power and Light Greenway Assessment is an initiative of the Collier County Traffic Operations and Alternative Transportation Modes Department (County) in partnership the Rails-to-Trails Conservancy (RTC). This team was further supported by the firms of Borrelli and Associates (BA) and Vanassee Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (VHB), which each provided pro-bono services to further advance this project. The proposed Florida Power and Light Greenway (FP\&L Greenway) is an enhancement of the existing Florida Power and Light (FP\&L) transmission corridor located in East Naples to include a 2.9mile non-motorized trail. In addition to a proposed 12' paved surface that is aligned predominantly along the centerline of the transmission corridor, the envisioned project also includes visual improvements to the affected areas through use of landscaping and buffering practices. Initial design recommendations are provided in the assessment and implementation sections of this report.

The FP\&L Greenway Assessment will foster increased coordination between the land use planning and implementation efforts of Collier County, local municipalities, private landowners and area residents. The success of this pilot project will help catalyze the larger effort to develop a seamless network of trails and greenways throughout the county. The vision for the greenway is to create a model project that provides a scenic, enjoyable, safe and healthy outdoor experience for the residents and visitors of Collier County.

The project boundary area that was studied in this assessment was the FP\&L transmission line corridor between Livingston Road and Rattle-Snake Hammock Road, see Overview Map on the next page. After extensive outreach and direction from staff, the focus area was further refined to concentrate on the section between Radio Road and Rattle-Snake Hammock Road for a distance of 15,325 feet or 2.90 miles, see Overview Map on the next page.

## LEARNING FROM PREVIOUS PLANNING EFFORTS

The greenway's citizen support structure is a result of a well-organized citizen initiative that formed during the compilation of the North-South Corridor Study. The study evaluated four new north-south roadway alignments and concluded by recommending the FP\&L transmission corridor as the preferred alignment. Although the study provided general information regarding cost estimates for the construction of a significant roadway, it was not a detailed analysis of ownership or an evaluation of the easements that encumbered the corridor. This is significant because it was initially thought that the study would serve as the general base of site-specific information. Once obtained and reviewed as part of detailing the project scope and general information gathering phases, it became apparent that ownership and resulting underlying landowner issues would need to be the priority of this assessment.


## GOALS

There are universal issues associated with building community support for trails. However, for the community to take ownership of a linear park project, our 18 years of organizational experience has shown that citizens must be engaged in discussions regarding operations and maintenance, design ideas and concerns, access points, and many other important issues. Throughout the process, we maintained the following project goals:

- Achieve community consensus for developing a multi-use public trail on the FP\&L utility corridor.
- Build a strong cooperative relationship between Collier County, FP\&L and the underlying and adjacent landowners along the corridor.
- Deliver a conceptual model trail that will inspire further trail and greenway development in Collier County.


## THE VALUE OF TRAILS

Trails and greenways positively impact individuals and improve communities by providing recreation and transportation opportunities and encouraging economic and community development. Trails and greenways bring many benefits to the community they traverse.

Recreation Opportunities. Most trail corridors in Florida are flat or have gentle grades, making them perfect for a variety of users, including walkers, inline skaters, bicyclists and people with disabilities. Trails are multimodal and versatile passageways.

Increased Property Values. Studies have shown that properties on land near or adjacent to trails and greenways often increase in value. People are willing to pay more to have a multi-use trail in their neighborhood. Trails have become an important amenity that many look for when choosing where to live.

Healthy Living. The U.S. Surgeon General estimates that 60 percent of American adults are not regularly active and 25 percent are not active at all. Additionally, childhood obesity is on the rise and Type II diabetes is increasingly affecting both adults and children. In many communities across the country, people do not have access to trails, parks or other recreation areas close to their homes. Trails and greenways provide safe, inexpensive avenues for regular exercise.

Environmental and Open Space Protection. Trails and greenways help improve air and water quality. Communities with trails provide enjoyable and safe options for clean transportation, which reduces air pollution. By protecting land along canals and streams, greenways prevent soil erosion and filter pollution caused by agricultural and road runoff.

Connecting People and Communities. Trails serve as utilitarian transportation corridors linking neighborhoods, workplaces and additional community destinations such as schools, libraries and shopping centers. They connect congested urban areas with parks and open spaces. By bringing people together on greenways for their daily activities, trails unite people and their natural surroundings.

Regional Systems. As more and more trails are completed, we are beginning to see the emergence of regional systems of interconnected trails and other alternative transportation corridors such as onstreet bike lanes. Trails serve as the backbone for these systems, increasing the value of the whole by connecting the parts. Regional trail systems cross political, social and economic barriers, allowing trail users to form new connections with neighboring communities. These ribbons of green link schools, parks, commercial areas, neighborhoods and waterfronts, allowing trail users to traverse the region solely and safely on trails. Trail networks form a more cohesive transportation system, allowing people to travel to other communities and to combine trail use with other forms of transit. Regional trail systems draw more riders from outside the community, contributing to the economic growth of the region. Bringing trails together to form networks dramatically increases the positive effects that trails bring to their communities. Although not part of this report, the team has started discussions with other agencies to begin developing significant regional connections on both public lands and utility corridors.

## OVERVIEW OF THE SOUTHWEST FLORIDA REGION

Collier County is host to numerous natural treasures such as the Everglades and miles of beautiful white sandy beaches. This, along with the mild climate, has made the area a very popular travel destination. Incorporated in 1923, Collier County's history of growth is due, in part, to its role as a transportation link between Florida's traditional population hubs of Tampa and Miami. This link is still known today as the Tamiami Trail, which was conceived and built by Barron Collier. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, Naples's population in 1960 was 15,753 residents. Today the county is known for its fine dinning, shopping and outdoor offerings. There are approximately one-half-million visitors to Collier County each year.

Pathways and trails are currently receiving a lot of attention in Collier County. In addition to the FP\&L Greenway, Collier County is beginning the process of updating its vision of a countywide system of interconnected pathways. These pathways will be comprised of both on-road facilities such as sidewalks and bikelanes as well as those separated from roadways such as trails. Several regionally significant alignments have recently been included into the State of Florida Greenways and Trails Multi-Use Opportunity Maps. These maps are intended to drive the next five years of acquisition dollars and set forth the state's visions of an interconnected statewide system of multi-use trails.

## USING UTILITY CORRIDORS FOR TRAILS

Across the country rapid growth and development of our urban areas continues, while at the same time the public demands trail networks that access parks, public lands, and communities. The presence of linear utility corridors in and around these urban and suburban settings offer an enticing prospect for communities. These utilities can provide solutions to improve the aesthetic value, quality of life and economic vitality for communities they traverse.

Establishing a strong relationship between a utility company and a public entity managing a trail on these rights-of-way is key to the success of the project. While this relationship means some sacrifice from both parties, as well as the cooperation of the affected communities, the benefits far outweigh the costs. The project will be well served by clearly understanding the economic, liability and maintenance concerns of the utility company, managing agency and adjacent neighborhoods and to strictly negotiate those needs as part of the construction of a public trail on the right-of-way.

Several major concerns (some real, some perceived) posed by the proposition of managed recreational
use on a utility right-of-way include:

- Increased exposure to tort liability;

■ Interference with regular operation and maintenance activities and the resulting; associated increase in operating costs;

- Increased crime and protection of structures and facilities;
- Conflicts between maintenance crews and trail users;
- Public safety

■ Lack of funding sources

- Securing consensus among the landowners over whose property the easement crosses and addressing adjacent landowner concerns;

Each of these concerns are addressed in detail throughout this report. Information gathered from, Using Transportation and Utility Corridors for Trails by James G. Carlson.

The initial challenge is to combine an active outreach initiative with sincere interest in the concerns of corridor managers. When affected groups are given appropriate respect, credit and attention, they are more likely to become advocates of the project and less likely to be swayed by opposition.

As referenced in this report there are several examples of successful multi-use trails within utility corridors in Florida including the Pinellas Trail Extension in Pinellas County (Progress Energy Trail), the Nature Coast Trail in North-Central Florida (Progress Energy) and the Cross Seminole Trail Connector in Seminole County, FL (FP\&L).

## ELECTRO MAGNETIC FIELDS (EMF)

Over 25 years of research and hundreds of millions of research dollars has not proven a direct connection between magnetic fields and harmful health effects, but the research continues. There are several government web links which can update you on the current knowledge base:
http://www.who.int/peh-emf/en/ (World Health Organization - WHO)
http://www.niehs.nih.gov/emfrapid/booklet/emf2002.pdf (USA)
http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/english/iyh/environment/magnetic.html (Canada)
Information gathered from Safe Management of Power Line Trails by Gary Holisko, Senior Environmental Coordinator, BC Hydro Engineering

## PROJECT HISTORY

In 2003, Collier County hired the consulting firm of TBE Group, Inc. to study potential new northsouth roadway alignments within Naples. Four alignments were studied with the recommended alignment being the FP\&L corridor in East Naples. The study was a planning exercise with the final alignment selected based upon feasibility. The study could not have anticipated the vast public opposition to the new roadway alignment from the adjoining neighborhoods. A coalition of 10 affected homeowner associations formed a group called Community Preservation Association (CPA) to fight the proposal. CPA is generally credited with the narrow defeat of the roadway and remains very active in
the process of creating a trail along the transmission corridor. The strong support of CPA and its members was crucial in the success of the public discussions, workshops and public outreach efforts conducted as part of this report.

Potentially a trend in Florida, RTC is observing several other communities which are using trails as a means of preserving neighborhoods by removing certain corridors from discussion for use as roadways and other motorized transportation uses. Examples of this can be found in Lynn Haven, Pinellas County and Miami.

## ACQUISITION AND RIGHT-OF-WAY

Transmission corridors are a vital part of the state's electrical power grid. Most citizens assume that the utility company that utilizes the corridor owns these corridors. However, utility companies rarely own the property in fee-simple. Fee-simple comprises ownership of all the bundled rights associated with a parcel of land. These rights include, and are not limited to, aerial rights, underground rights, surface rights and the right of egress. It is much more economical for utility companies to acquire only the needed right for them to construct, maintain and operate a utility corridor. This is usually in the form of an easement in perpetuity. These easements meet the operational needs of the utility as well as limit the rights of the underlying owner who transferred the easement. They also tend to limit the ability of the underlying owner to plant certain kinds of vegetation and erect structures such as houses and barns. Although easements are the common practice by utility companies, it is not uncommon for corridors to be owned in fee-simple. Several examples of these arrangements in Florida are the Pinellas Trail Extension in Pinellas County (Progress Energy Trail) and the Nature Coast Greenway in NorthCentral Florida (Progress Energy). In researching our database of nearly 13,000 miles of rail-trails nationwide, we found that $25 \%$ of existing rail-trails already contain a utility use within or along portions of their lengths. This is important as it relates to insights regarding design, use and management issues associated with co-use of corridors.

Since the North-South Corridor Study didn't provide an analysis of ownership, the assumption brought into the scoping discussions was that FP\&L owned a significant portion of the proposed study area. Although FP\&L does own a small portion of the study area, it was later discovered that the initial project study area is comprised of 61 parcels representing 53 unique property owners. A database of underlying property owners is included in Appendix C. In addition to these 53 individuals, nearly 400 adjacent property owners identified in Appendix E-F, and 10 adjacent homeowner associations where identified. It became apparent that the project would need to focus on reaching out to the many affected parties to identify key issues, build consensus, offer solutions and provide the needed structure and assistance to acquire only the rights necessary to construct a multi-use non-motorized trail within the FP\&L transmission corridor. This outreach was performed by conducting public workshops and one-on-one meetings. It also included 1) providing assistance in creating a draft agreement between the county and FP\&L for location and operation of a trail, and 2) contacting underlying property owners to communicate the goals of the project and begin discussions regarding the transfer of easements to the county. Our outreach efforts for building a partnership with FP\&L, underlying property owners and adjoining neighbors is detailed in the community outreach section.

Generally, the 61 different parcels identified in the initial project boundary fall into three different categories: Type 1) a unique parcel of land that comprises the full width of the corridor, generally 110', that is wholly encumbered by the easement held by FP\&L; Type 2) a larger parcel of land containing a dwelling where only a portion is affected by the existing transmission corridor easement; or

Type 3) a portion of the corridor owned in fee by FP\&L. The RTC team, working with representatives of the CPA held direct meetings with 44 of the 53 property owners. As the project boundary was further refined, it was narrowed to the corridor between Radio Road and Rattle-Snake Hammock Road. This boundary narrowed the scope to 45 underlying property owners.

A copy of the easement drafted by the Collier County Assistant Attorney, Jeff Klatzkow, and a legal review of Florida law related to utility corridors and a sample easement are included in Appendices I and $B$ respectively. This easement will be distributed to each of the identified underlying property owners for execution. In our direct discussion with 44 of the underlying property owners, only one has indicated that they would not execute an easement agreement. A design solution has been offered that would allow the trail to be continuous and avoid the need for this particular parcel. Clearly, the success of this project revolves around the success of getting the easement executed by all of the needed parcel owners.

As discussed earlier, the agreement that details the relationship between the county and FP\&L is a significant step. The RTC Team discovered that FP\&L had previously negotiated an agreement that allowed trail use in another service area within Florida. This document between Seminole County and FP\&L became the template agreement to begin discussion for this project. FP\&L was pleased to learn that a framework had previously been reviewed and approved by legal counsel and executive staff.

## PHASE I: COMMUNITY OUTREACH

The creation of trails is no different than the creation of any other form of public infrastructure in terms of public debate and building public consensus. Our experience suggests that working closely with affected parties at the very beginning is vital to building support and creating trusting relationships with the local community. The success of the trail, and its acceptance by the community, is directly tied to the effectiveness of communicating the project's goals, providing insights and research documents in response to questions, and finding low-cost design solutions that can accommodate everyone's needs.

## PUBLIC MEETINGS

Numerous meetings were held with interested parties throughout the project. Several of these meetings were of substantial scope and participation. Our outreach meetings ranged from one-on-one discussions with underlying property owners to meetings with representatives of FP\&L. Crown Pointe hosted two well-attended meetings for adjacent homeowners and underlying property owners. A partial listing of participants from the larger gatherings is included in Appendix G. Examples of the issues raised and addressed during these community outreach meetings are included in Appendix H . They are generally consistent with issues identified in similar projects supported in other Florida communities.

## COMMUNITY SENTIMENT

It was encouraging throughout the outreach process to witness the amount of support received from the community. The support came from adjacent homeowners, area neighborhood associations, the Catholic Diocese, community developers, nearby businesses and local media. Several letters of support are included in Appendix J. Additionally, several articles regarding the project were featured in the Naples Daily News, see Appendix K.

Few urban trail projects in Florida over the last decade have had such a tremendous amount of support from conception. The previously mentioned roadway project lies at the forefront of the community's thoughts and has played a role in the success realized by the greenway.

## PHASE II: CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT

The original area of focus was the FP\&L transmission corridor between Livingston Road and Rattle-snake-Hammock Road, a distance just under four miles. The project was later narrowed to the corridor between Radio Road and Rattlesnake Hammock Road, which is 2.90 miles in length. The corridor right-of-way averages 110 ' in width and is generally comprised of upland sand hill habitat. It is lightly vegetated with small tress and shrubs along portions of the east and west boundaries. It is generally well suited for the co-location of a trail. Throughout the corridor, several access points where identified by the public indicating potential places for neighborhood connections to the trail. These access points are indicated and will provide trail users convenient access to the trail. Several communities expressed the need for landscape buffering and, in special instances, fencing to accommodate their need of privacy. It is encouraging that the use of fences be limited to the extent possible in favor of natural landscape buffering. Numerous examples are included throughout the segment drawings.

The corridor has been broken into three segments that are described in further detail below.

Segment 1, which is visually represented in Map 1, begins at Livingston Road and traverses east before turning 90 degrees to the south for the remaining distance of the project area. Although the segment's graphics include the portion of the corridor north of Radio Road, it is only included because it was within the original scope and represent the potential to extend the project in the future as public demand supports the concept. There is also the potential to create a corridor continuing to the north that ultimately connects into neighboring Lee County. Preliminary discussions with FP\&L regarding this potential future linkage have been positive.

For the revised scope, the project begins at the north side of Radio Road. One of the goals of the project was to undertake an initial review of the intersections and offer low-cost, yet safe, alternatives that would meet initial trail crossing needs. Several neighborhoods provided feedback to the team regarding their needs as it relates to preliminary intersection recommendation. After conducting an initial field review, it was concluded that for the initial phase of this project that the intersection improvements would be limited to signage, curb cuts, a pedestrian "island" and striping as described in ASHTO standards. It is important for the northern terminus of the trail to seamlessly tie into the existing sidewalk running along Radio Road and perpendicular to the trail. Landscaping, bollards and signage should be included here to clearly communicate to trail users that the trail ends at this point. A "typical" rest area, which is simply a bench and trash receptacle, would conclude the improvements to the northern side of Radio Road. A "typical" rest area is detailed throughout the segment drawings. The southern side of the crossing should also include the typical use of signage, bollards and landscaping that identifies the area as the beginning of the trail. There is an existing county public works facility at this point that could potentially provide additional space for a few parking places in the future. Continuing south on the corridor from Radio Road, the corridor runs adjacent to two (2) neighborhoods then crosses a lightly used connector road between to neighborhoods.


## RADIO ROAD



## CORRIDOR DESCRIPTION/MAP - SEGMENT 2

As the corridor continues to the south, it runs adjacent to two (2) small ponds to the east. Public input indicated a concern that trail users could potentially be attracted to these areas. Several fencing options have been included in the segment drawing that offer a low-cost design solution that doesn't distract from the overall views from the proposed trail.

As the corridor approaches Davis Road, there is an existing FP\&L transformer facility located on an adjacent parcel to the west. An example of landscape buffering of this facility is included in the segment drawing. Special attention will need to be given to the security and maintenance needs of FP\&L at this location. This may include limiting access to the driveway used by FP\&L to access this facility.

There was concern expressed by neighboring communities regarding incorporating bicycle-pedestrian activated signalization for trail users to cross Radio Road into the initial phases of the project. It was felt that the creation of a new signal crossing at this location would negatively affect their neighborhood. Like the previously described intersection at Radio Road, intersection improvements would be limited to signage, curb cuts, a pedestrian "island" and striping as described in ASHTO standards. Bollards, signage and landscape should be included on both sides of the intersection to clearly identify the trail and approaching intersection.

West Crowne Pointe Boulevard runs adjacent and parallel to portions of the corridor in this segment. A graphic has been included that offers a design solution that enhances existing landscape buffering.




Several design solutions are being offered in this segment that will make this portion of the trail unique and add character. In this segment, the trail encounters a short canal water-span, numerous underlying property owners of Riviera Colony and a canal that will host a short boardwalk. It is envisioned that the boardwalk will be located above an existing drainage canal located within the transmission corridor. Renderings were created showing design solutions created from the public interaction are detailed in this segment.

As pointed out earlier in this report, this area encompasses ownership Type 2, which is a larger parcel of land containing a dwelling where only a portion is affected by the existing transmission corridor easement. It is important for these owners to continue being able to park and maneuver their recreational vehicles.

It is envisioned that the boardwalk will be located above an existing drainage canal located within the transmission corridor. The distance of the boardwalk will be driven by the number of trail easements received through partnership with the underlying property owners. Extra time was taken with these parties to ensure identification of their needs and questions. The RTC Team was well received by this neighborhood and we were invited into their backyards to directly interact with them.

It is understood that there are existing plans to widen the canal in this area along the corridor's western boundary. It is important that the two (2) projects be closely coordinated for the two (2) projects can compliment each other well. It is envisioned to create a scenic and well buffered area along Riviera Colony that creates a "gateway" for the trail's beginning point. This includes signage, bollards and a connection with the sidewalk along Rattlesnake-Hammock Road. Rattlesnake-Hammock Road northern side is the project's ending point.


Computer generation of the rest area.


## PHASE III: PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

## GENERAL IMPLEMENTATION MEASURES FOR TRAILS ON UTILITY CORRIDORS

Introduction of public trails along utility corridors requires intensive active cooperation and planning between the trail managing entity and the utility company in order to minimize operation and maintenance impacts. Some of the measures that can help diminish these impacts include:

- Funding solutions such as seeking out a utility company who may be interested in utilizing the proposed corridor to improve and/or expand its system of delivery;
- Establishing times for specific operation and maintenance tasks so the trail can be closed down, if necessary;

■ Establishing design standards such as separating trail from maintenance roads;
■ Adequate signage and intensive education programs;
■ Informal/neighborhood patrols;

- A policy providing that the needs of the utility company or agency should take precedence over the needs of the public when necessary; and the establishment of a coalition of interested parties which oversees the whole length of the trail.


## SPECIFIC TRAIL MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS - FP\&L GREENWAY

## COOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT WITH FP\&L

All design and management activities should be coordinated with FP\&L. Trail alignment, landscaping and management practices will need to be sensitive to the company's design criteria and management requirements.

LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE
Currently, Collier County contracts out to a third party much of the landscaping and maintenance of its transportation corridors. Given the extent of current work under contract and considering this as a cost saving mechanism, we recommend a similar arrangement be put in place for the FP\&L Greenway. Landscaping design should be coordinated with FP\&L engineers, the sheriff's department and neighborhood associations.

SECURITY
Design: It is envisioned that this trail will become a model for creating partnerships and for providing design solutions to encourage additional trails to be co-located along utility corridors in Collier County in the future. The assessment's recommendations include low-cost intersection crossings at Davis and Radio Roads. Bollards would be erected at intersections to limit motorized use to maintenance and security activities for the trail and transmission line only, thus enhancing the existing security and limiting vehicular traffic on the corridor. Currently there are few hindrances that prevent vehicles from entering and traversing the transmission corridor.

After much discussion with the adjacent neighborhood associations, consensus was built to provide lighting at the intersection crossings only. Many underlying property owners, whose support is crucial
to the success of the project, expressed concern and were generally non-supportive of the concept of lighting the entire corridor. Therefore, it is recommended that the trail's operating hours be limited to daylight hours only. These operating hours should be clearly posted and communicated to law enforcement authorities.

Law Enforcement: Trails tend to become self-policing once they are open. Trail users become the eyes and ears of the trail and are often the first to assist when injury occurs or security is threatened. Many successful trails have the local police or sheriff's department adopt the trail as part of their patrol route. Also, many communities now have police officers on bikes who are willing to work with the trail manager to monitor the trail. The team recommends continued cooperation between the alternative modes department and the county sheriff's department to create a law enforcement bike patrol program. County staff has indicated that Sheriff Hunter supports the project and will likely become a partner by deploying bike patrol staff to monitor the trail.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

| PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT COSTS * |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trail Development (Includes landscaping \& buffering treatments) | $\$ 754,000$ |
| Bridge Over Expanded Canal | 150,000 |
| Intersection Improvements 320,000 <br> Professional Services (Engineering, Surveying, Professional Architectural, <br> Landscaping Architectural, and Geotechnical Engineering) <br> Contingencies (15\%) 250,000 <br> Total 221,100 |  |
| *Radio Road to Rattle-Snake Hammock Road. Prepared w/o geotechnical data. Intended for budgeting purpose <br> only. | $\$ 1,695,100$ |


#### Abstract

LIABILITY As the popularity of trails grows-urban, suburban and rural-use of trails increases and may cause concerns about liability issues. Public agencies considering building a trail may worry about a user being injured on the trail. Similarly, private landowners who own land adjacent to a trail may worry about trail users wandering off the trail onto their land and injuring themselves or causing property damage. Some landowners may like to open up their land for recreational use, but are concerned about the liability they may incur in doing so.

Florida, like most states, is fortunate to have laws that substantially limit public and private landowner liability. The Recreational Use Statute (RUS) protects private landowners who want to open their land to the public for recreation free of charge. In some states, these statutes serve to protect public agencies as well. Public agencies, if not protected by the Recreational Use Statute, are often protected by governmental immunities or possess limited liability under a State Tort Claims Act. Private landowners who have land adjacent to a trail are also protected by trespassing laws. For all these parties, insurance can provide protection as well.


State of Florida Tort Claims Act: Fl. Tort Claims Act, Fla. Stat. îi 768.28 et seq. State of Florida Recreational Use Statute: FI State Ann. Sec. 375.251

While concerns about liability are understandable, real-world experience shows that neither public nor private landowners have experienced liability difficulties from trail development. Liability problems on multi-use trails have been minimal because the Recreational Use Statute exists in all 50 states. Adjacent landowners are not at risk as long as they abstain from "willful and wanton misconduct" against trespassers such as recklessly or intentionally creating a hazard. Trail managers minimize liability exposure provided they design and manage the trail in a responsible manner and do not charge for trail access. Trail-related liability is primarily a management issue. Laws are in place to protect all parties from unwarranted lawsuits and the focus should be on proper design, maintenance and management.

## SECURITY

Recognizing the importance of addressing trail users safety and trail neighbors concerns about crime are critical to the creation of a successful trail. In an effort to address many of these concerns, RTC produced a report in 1998 called Rail-Trails and Safe Communities which surveyed more than 370 open rail-trails and reviewed existing crime and safety research on similar trails around the country. The report documented the level of crime on trails and identified the mitigation measures used by trail designers and managers. Past studies, our survey results, letters from law enforcement officials and comparisons to national crime figures all indicate that trails are safe places for local residents and visitors to enjoy. While common sense and preventative measures should be used on trails to ensure the lowest possible levels of crime, well monitored public trails remain much safer than many other environments. For full report visit: www.trailsandgreenways.org

Letters from law enforcement agencies support these findings. They consistently report that trails do not encourage crime; rather, several letters cited heavy trail usage as a crime deterrent in areas of former isolation:
"The trail does not encourage crime, and in fact, probably deters crime since there are many people, tourists and local citizens using the trail for many activities at various hours of the day." - Pat Conlin, Sheriff, Green County, Wisconsin
"The trail has not caused any increase in the amount of crimes reported and the few reported incidents are minor in nature... We have found that the trail brings in so many people that it has actually led to a decrease in problems we formerly encountered such as underage drinking along the river banks. The increased presence of people on the trail has contributed to this problem being reduced." - Charles R. Tennant, Chief of Police, Elizabeth Township, Buena Vista, Pennsylvania

## ACCESSIBILITY

Multi-use trails are exceptional venues for people with physical disabilities because most utility corridors are quite flat, with a typical grade of three percent or less. They are often surfaced with a hard substance such as crushed stone or asphalt and are free from traffic and other obstacles. The vast majority of trails are accessible by wheelchair users.

In developing this multi-use trail, builders should ensure that it is free from barriers and obstructions and usable by people with disablilities. Several publications and agencies should be consulted in
order to design accessibility into the trail, and primary sources of information include the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards (UFAS), and the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG). These guidelines were established to ensure the civil rights of people with disabilities, and they apply to any newly built or altered structure such as a parking lot, museum or rest rooms. The best up-to-date information on federal accessibility guidelines is the U.S. Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (Access Board) at www.access-board.gov. Additionally, a design guidelines and practices publication that should be consulted is Designing Sidewalks and Trails for Access, Parts I and II, published by the Federal Highway Administration.

## FUNDING AND SUPPORT SERVICES FOR GREENWAYS AND TRAILS

The following funding sources are available for trail acquisition specifically. There are numerous other sources that can be pursued for such activities as landscaping, art along trails, promotional activities, trailheads or other improvements.

## NATIONAL SOURCES

Recreational Trails Program - This program is federally funded by the US DOT and administered by the Office of Greenways and Trails. It is a grant program designed to be competitive; therefore, only projects that meet a certain criteria may be funded: maintenance and restoration of existing trails, development or rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and linkages, acquisition of necessary easements, associated administrative costs, new trails and educational programs. This is a good resource because although you can often attain money for acquisition, finding funds to maintain a project can be more of a challenge.

For information regarding the Recreational Trails Program contact: Alexandra Weiss (850) 245-2052.
Transportation Enhancement Program - The program is run out of the Environmental Management Office of DOT, headed by Leroy Irwin. The current TEA-21 program deals with funding projects related to transportation infrastructure. It is designed to strengthen and enhance the transportation system esthetically by way of environmental and cultural related projects.

The DOT website stores a wealth of information including definitions of common terms, eligibility, requirements on how to apply, sample projects, and a list of contacts. For more information on the TE program and to access the site, go to www.dot.state.fl.us. Click on Doing Business with DOT, then on Environmental Management, then on TE Program.

The FHWA financial guide to Transportation Enhancements activities, which could help you determine the eligibility of your project, is available through the website as well.

## STATE SOURCES

Greenways and Trails Acquisition Program - This program is a component of Florida Forever, the successor to Preservation 2000. The Greenways and Trails Acquisition Program receives 1.5 percent of the Florida Forever annual distribution. Communities can apply to the program to receive funding to acquire land for greenways and trails projects. The purpose of this program is to acquire land to help create a statewide system of greenways and trails. Municipalities, non-profit organizations, and individual citizens of the state of Florida are eligible to nominate acquisition projects to this program. It is funded by bonds backed by taxes (documentary stamps) on the transfer of real estate.

For more information, contact Cindy Radford of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Office of Greenways \& Trails at (850) 488-3701.

Florida Recreational Development Assistance Program (FRDAP) - This is a competitive program which provides grants for acquisition or development of land for public outdoor recreation use. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection administers the program. The Bureau of Design and Recreation Services of DEP's Division of Recreation and Parks has direct responsibility for FRDAP. Funds may be used to acquire or develop land for public outdoor recreation or to construct or renovate recreational trails. Municipal or county governments or other legally constituted entities with the legal responsibility to provide public outdoor recreation may apply for FRDAP funds. FRDAP grant applications may be submitted during an announced submission period, usually early fall each year. The applicant is required to supply a match at certain funding levels. The local match requirement is based upon the total project cost.

For more information, call Bureau of Design and Recreation Services at (850) 488-7896 or visit the website at www.dep.state.fl.us/bdrs.

Florida Communities Trust (FCT) - The FCT helps local governments implement their comprehensive plans through the acquisition of land, utilizing funds from the state's Preservation 2000 Act and Florida Forever Act. The Florida Communities Trust differs from other acquisition programs, focusing exclusively on locally selected acquisition projects. Each year, the program makes grants to local governments to help them buy coastal, conservation, recreation, greenways and open space land. Since few local governments have land-buying experience, FCT staff also provides technical assistance. They help cities and counties put grant applications together and are part of the negotiation and acquisition team during the purchase process.

For more information, call the Department of Community Affairs at (850) 922-2207 or visit their website at www.dca.state.fl.us.

## MANAGEMENT/MAINTENANCE — GENERAL COSTS

## TYPICAL COSTS

Maintenance costs will vary greatly depending on the type of trail, amount of volunteer labor use, available services and geographic location of the trail. These costs, however, must be considered during the trail planning process to ensure that trail owners can pay for the ongoing maintenance of the trails they develop.

The typical cost of resurfacing asphalt trails (based on national averages - costs will vary) is $\$ 10$ per linear foot ( $\$ 5$ per linear foot to overlay with top coat). Asphalt surfaced trails will need to be resurfaced every 7-15 years (resurface with top coat and replace sections).

The typical cost of resurfacing concrete trails (based on national averages - costs will vary) is $\$ 25$ per linear foot. Concrete surfaced trails need to be resurfaced every 20+ years.

Liability insurance is another expense that must factor into the cost of developing and maintaining a trail. Fortunately, though, liability has not been much of a problem on multi-use trails because recreational use statutes (RUS) exist in all 50 states. Under RUS, no landowner is liable for recreational injuries resulting from carelessness if they have provided public access to their land for recreation purposes.

The following are the typical annual maintenance costs for one mile of paved trail (these figures are based on national average - the costs will vary for individual trails. Based on year 2000 dollars):

| Drainage and storm channel maintenance (3-5 times per year): | $\$ 500$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sweeping/blowing debris off trailhead (16-24 times per year): | $\$ 1,200$ |
| Pickup and removal of trash (16-24 times per year): | $\$ 1,200$ |
| Weed control and vegetation management (8-12 times per year): | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Mowing of 3-foot grass shoulder along trail (8-24 times per year): | $\$ 1,200$ |
| Minor repairs to trail furniture/safety features: | $\$ 500$ |
| Maintenance supplies for work crews: | $\$ 300$ |
| Equipment fuel and repairs: | $\$ 600$ |
| TOTAL COST: | $\$ 600$ |

Information gathered from Trails for the 21st Century-Planning, Design, and Management Manual for Multi-Use Trails, Rails-to-Trails Conservancy, Island Press, 2001

APPENDIX A: PHOTO IMAGE INVENTORY
VIEWS FROM THE PROPOSED GREENWAY

NEAR RATTLESNAKE HAMMOCK ROAD


NEAR WEST CROWN POINTE BOULEVARD


NEAR DAVIS ROAD


LIVINGSTON ROAD


DAVIS ROAD


## RADIO ROAD



BETWEEN RADIO AND DAVIS ROADS


FP\&L TRANSFORMER STATION


COLLIER COUNTY ADJACENT WATER TREATMENT FACILITY


WEST CROWN POINTE SUBDIVISION


# APPENDIX B: LEGAL ANALYSIS OF FLORIDA LAW UTILITY CORRIDORS AND EASEMENTS 

ANDREA C. FERSTER<br>LAW OFFICES<br>1100 SEVENTEEN STREET, N.W., 10th FLOOR<br>WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036<br>TEL.(202) 974-5142 • FAX (202) 331-9680

The purpose of this memo is to provide a general overview of Florida law as it pertains to trail use of utility corridors.
I. State Law Affecting Ownership of Utility Corridors

A Shifting Public Use
Florida does not have any clear statutory or case law indicating whether it is permissible to use a utility easement for a recreational trail. Ordinarily the plain language used in a conveyance will determine the scope of the interest granted. See Akers v. Canas, 601 So.2d 305, 306 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1992). However, if the language used is ambiguous a court must look at the surrounding circumstances in order to ascertain the intent of the parties. See Robinson v. Feltus, 68 So.2d 815, 816 (Fla. 1953). See also Hillsborough County v. Kortum, 585 So.2d 1029, 1991 Fla. App. LEXIS 8199, at *1, * 5 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1991), petition for review denied, 1992 Fla. LEXIS 124.

Florida case and statutory law appears more favorable to allowing easements acquired for other purposes such as a railroad or public road to also be used concurrently as power utility corridors, than allowing easements acquired for power utility purposes to be used for other purposes. In Davis v. MCI Telecommunications Corp., 606 So.2d 734, (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1992), the court held that a fiber optic telecommunications cable could be buried along a railroad right of way, without the consent of the underlying fee owners. Davis v. MCI Telecommunications Corp., 606 So.2d at 739. The court held that telephone and telegraph companies had a statutory right "to acquire from the railroad alone the right to place communication lines, whether above or below ground, along the railroad within the easement or right of way being used and maintained by the railroad." Id.

In Nerbonne v. Florida Power Corp., 692 So.2d 928 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1997), the court held that allowing a power line to be erected over a right of way granted to Orange County did not exceed the scope of an easement acquired for "public road purposes," even though the court agreed that the plaintiff's "position ha[d] the force of common sense and plain meaning." Nerbonne v. Florida Power Corp., 692 So.2d at 928 . The plaintiff argued that the language used in the conveyance showed that the easement was to be used for a public road only. Id. The court noted that although the issue had not been directly decided in Florida, other jurisdictions had held that a power line running over a public highway did not create an additional burden. Id. at 928 . The court went on to hold that public utilities were included in the original grant for public road purposes since the parties could have excluded public utilities from the original grant and the conveyance was silent on the issue. Id. at 930.

In Florida Power Corp. v. Silver Lake Homeowners Association, 727 So.2d 1149, 1149 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1999), the appellate court reversed a lower court decision finding that Florida Power Corporation ("FPC") had exceeded the scope of its easement for a power transmission and distribution line by replacing wooden H-frame support structures with steel monopole support structures. The terms of the easement gave FPC the right to maintain an $H$-frame line in connection with its easement. Id. at 1149. The appellate court found that the terms of the easement gave FPC "the right to alter, improve, repair and rebuild, as well as the right to increase or decrease the number of wires and voltage," and therefore the replacement of the wooden H-frame structure was consistent with the terms of the original easement. Id. at 1150-51.

Nerbonne v. Florida Power Corp. suggests that in certain cases Florida courts may come up with a broad interpretation of the scope on easement. However, the court specifically noted that the additional utility use of the public road did not increase the burden on the servient estate. Nerbonne v. Florida Power Corp., 692 So.2d at 928 . In Crutchfield v. F.A. Sebring Realty Co., 69 So.2d 328, 330 (Fla. 1954), the Florida Supreme Court held "that the burden of a right of way upon the servient estate must not be increased to any greater extent than reasonably necessary and contemplated at the time of initial acquisition." As a result, it is possible that Florida courts may hold that the recreational trail use increases the burden placed on the servient estate for easements acquired for electric transmission and distribution, particularly in cases where the underlying fee owner was able to use the underlying fee estate in a way that did not interfere with the electric company easements. In Nerbonne v. Florida Power Corp., it is unlikely that the power line over the public highway interfered with use of the easement by the underlying fee owner. Where trail use interferes with the servient owner's use of the underlying fee, and where the grantor of the utility easement specifically reserves the right of the grantor to use the underlying fee estate, the reservation language could be viewed as an explicit prohibition on additional uses of the easement, such as recreational trails that will interfere with use by the underlying fee owners.

Florida Greenways and Trails Act (the "Act"), Fla. Stat. Ann. §§ 260.011-260.018 "provide[s] the means and procedures for establishing and expanding a statewide system of greenways and trails." Fla. Stat. Ann. § 2601.012(1). While the Act mentions the legislative intent to encourage "the multiple use of public rights-ofway," the Act does not specifically address the scope of utility easements. Id. at § 260.012(2). The Act also provides that "[n]o lands or waterways may be designated as a part of the statewide system of greenways and trails without the specific written consent of the landowner." Id. at § 260.014 . Therefore, the courts are unlikely to rely on this law as support for a broader interpretation of a utility easement as including trail use where trail use will interfere with the grantor's use of the servient estate, and the language of the easement indicates that the grantor intended only to permit uses by the easement holder that did not additionally burden the servient estate.

## B. Abandonment

"Abandonment is a question of intent." Dade County v. City of North Miami Beach, 69 So.2d 780, 783 (Fla. 1953). Although nonuse may be used as evidence of abandonment, nonuse by itself does not necessarily constitute abandonment. Id. at 782-83. However, a conveyance may provide for the termination of an easement if the use for which it was granted ceases. See Florida Power Corp. v. Lynn, 594 So.2d 789, 792-93 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1992) (holding that an easement did not terminate when the purpose for which it was original granted ceased temporarily while plans for future use where being considered).

In Florida Power Corp. v. Lynn, the trial court found that Florida Power Corporation ("FPC") had lost easements acquired "for the transmission and distribution of electricity" through nonuse "for their intended purposes." Florida Power Corp. v. Lynn 594 So.2d at 790, 791. The terms of the conveyance stated that FPC retained the easement while it was being used or until abandoned. Id. at 791. The appellate court found that the since the terms of the conveyance gave FPC the right to "rebuild or remove" its lines, FPC could temporarily deactivate its line while it worked on plans for future use. Id. at 792. Even though the line was deactivated for six years, FPC "continued to maintain, patrol and inspect the easements and tower located along [the underlying fee owners] lands in anticipation that it could utilize those easements for a new transmission line with increased voltage" after deactivating the line. Id. at 792.

## C. Marketable Title

"The purpose of [Fla. Stat. $\S \S 712.01-712.10]$, the Marketable Title Act, is to render marketable any estate in land recorded for thirty years or more and to make same free and clear of any interest arising from a title transaction, act, event or omission which occurred prior to the effective date of the root of title." Whaley v. Wotring, 225 So.2d 177, 1969 Fla. App. LEXIS 5407, at *1, *12 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. July 10, 1969). "'Root of title' is defined as the last title transaction recorded at least thirty years." Id. at *12 (emphasis in original). "'Title transaction' means any recorded instrument or court proceeding which affects title to any estate or interest in land and which describes the land sufficiently to identify its location and terms." Fla. Stat. § 712.01(3). Section 712.03 contains exceptions to marketability. Id. at § 712.03. Easements, including public utilities are excepted from marketability as long as they are in use. Id. at 712.03(5).

Under Florida law, "[r]eversion clauses providing for the termination of an interest in real property upon discontinuance of the use of such property for specified purposes are not favored in law and will be strictly construed against the grantor." Florida Power Corp. v. Lynn, 594 So.2d 789, 792 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1992).

## II. State Law Affecting Liability of Trail Managers

Under the common law of most states, the liability of owners and occupiers of land is defined by the extent to which one person owes a "duty of care" to the person who sustained an injury. Trail managers, as a particular class of landowners, receive special protection from liability by state-enacted Recreational Use Statutes (RUS). Recreational Use Statutes, which are in effect in some form in all 50 states, alter common law tort principles regarding landowner liability to invitees, licensees, and trespassers by narrowing or obviating the owner's duty of care toward recreational users. Instead, RUS's limit the liability of certain landowners who allow the public free use of their land for recreational purposes.

Fla. Stat. Ann. § 375.251 limits the liability of landowners who make their land available to the public for outdoor recreational purposes. The statute also limits liability for landowners who lease their land to the state for outdoor recreational use. Id. at $\S 375.251$ (3). Under the statute, such landowners and lessees owe no duty of care to keep the area "safe for entry or use by others, or to give warnings to persons entering or going on that park area or land of any hazardous conditions, structures, or activities thereon." Id. at $\S 375.251(2)(a)$. Liability is also limited for injuries "to persons or property caused by the act or omission of a person who goes on that park area or land." Id. at § $375.251(2)(\mathrm{a})(3)$. The statute applies as long as the public is allowed to use the property for an outdoor recreational purpose free of charge. Id. at § 375.251 (2)(b). The statute does not limit liability for "deliberate, willful or malicious injury to persons or property." Id. at § 375.251(4).

Section 260.0125 of the Florida Greenways and Trails Act limits liability for "private landowner whose property is designated as part of a statewide system of greenways and trails. Fla. Stat. Ann. § 260.0125. The provision in § 260.0125 are similar to those in Fla. Stat. Ann. § 375.251. There is also a provision for indemnification of private landowners under § 260.125(6) if the landowner and the Department of Environmental Protection agreed to such a provision. Fla. Stat. Ann. § 260.125(6). Liability is not limited for "willful, or malicious injury to persons or property." Id. at § $260.125(7)$. Under § 260.125(2) a "private landowner who consents to designation of his or her land as part of the statewide system of greenways and trials pursuant to s. 260.016(2)(d) without compensation . . . shall be covered by state liability protection pursuant to s. 768..28, including s. 768.28(9)." Id. at § 260.0125(2).

## APPENDIX C: DATABASE - PROPERTY OWNERS

| FOLIO \# | PROPERTY ADDRESS | OWNER | OWNER `S ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE | ACRES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24768111042 | NO SITE ADDRESS | BRIARWOOD DEVEL CORP | 3927 ARNOLD AVE | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34101-3373 | 4.23 |
| 24768111026 | NO SITE ADDRESS | BRIARWOOD DEVEL CORP | 3927 ARNOLD AVE | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34101-3373 | 2.72 |
| 24768111068 | NO SITE ADDRESS | BRIARWOOD DEVELCORP | 3927 ARNOLD AVE | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34101-3373 | 0.42 |
| 00294800003 | NO SITE ADDRESS | SPINELLI LAND HOLDINGS LLC | 3927 ARNOLD AVE | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34101-3373 | 40 |
| 00294960008 | 1370 SAN MARCOS BLVD | WAGNER, CARL \& BARBARA | 1370 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-3806 | 4.77 |
| 00294240003 | 1328 SAN MARCOS BLVD | BIDEN, JEFFREY \& LINDA | 1328 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34101-3806 | 4.77 |
| 00293640002 | NO SITE ADDRESS | DE JOHN, LOUIS \& PHYLLIS | 220 BLACKMAR ST | NEWARK | NEW JER | 14513-1204 | 4.77 |
| 27193000042 | 4005 FAMILY CIRCLE CT | COLLINS TR, MARILYN | 4005 FAMILY CIRCLE CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-7060 | 1.33 |
| 27193000084 | 4004 FAMILY CIRCLE CT | COLLINS, MARILYN | 4005 FAMILY CIRCLE CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34101-7060 | 1.33 |
| 00292760006 | 1228 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NEVINS, BISHOP JOHN |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DIOCESE OF VENICE 1000 PINEBR | ROOK RD | VENICE | FLORIDA | 34285-6426 | 4.78 |
| 00295720001 | NO SITE ADDRESS | NEVINS, BISHOP JOHN |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DIOCESE OF VENICE 1000 PINEBR | ROOK RD | VENICE | FLORIDA | 34285-6426 | 4.77 |
| 00293160003 | 970 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NEVINS, BISHOP JOHN |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DIOCESE OF VENICE 1000 PINEBR | ROOK RD | VENICE | FLORIDA | 34285-6426 | 4.77 |
| 00294840005 | 888 SAN MARCOS BLVD | SIPINKOSKI, ZLATE | 647 LAMBTON LN | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-8305 | 2.39 |
| 00294880007 | 828 SAN MARCOS BLVD | STONEBURNER, JUDITH | PO BOX 8692 | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34101-8692 | 2.39 |
| 00292920008 | 600 SAN MARCOS BLVD | SIPINKOSKI, GOCE | 600 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-3830 | 2.39 |
| 00292880009 | 580 SAN MARCOS BLVD | GENET, JOHN \& LISA | 580 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-3828 | 2.39 |
| 00292960000 | 5555 RADIO RD | CCF LP REAL ESTATE TAX DEPT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CHATEAU COMMUNITIES | 6160 S SYRACUSE WAY | GREENWOOD VILLAGE | CO | 80111-4772 | 3.98 |
| 00400760005 | NO SITE ADDRESS | FLORIDA POWER \& LIGHT CO | PO BOX 14000 | NORTH PALM BEACH | FLORIDA | 33408-0420 | 6.67 |
| 00400600000 | NO SITE ADDRESS | CAYO COSTA ASSOCIATES INC | 1520 ROYAL PALM SQBLVD \#3 | 360, FORT MYERS | FLORIDA | 33919-1053 | 6.07 |
| 60606000059 | NO SITE ADDRESS | MOON LAKE DEV CO OF NAPLES | 5026 ECLIPSE CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-6601 | 0 |
| 60605500042 | NO SITE ADDRESS | MOON LAKE DEV CO OF NAPLES | 5026 ECLIPSE CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-6601 | 0 |
| 60605000102 | NO SITE ADDRESS | MOON LAKE DEV CO OF NAPLES | 5026 ECLIPSE CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-6601 | 0 |
| 81370040007 | 3035 CROWN PT BLVD W | CROWN PT COMMUNITY ASSOC | 2786 W CROWN POINTE BLVD | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-5463 | 0 |
| 00428240002 | NO SITE ADDRESS | NICHOLS JR, LEE ROYCE | 3333 TIMBERWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34105-5628 | 0 |
| 00429040007 | NO SITE ADDRESS | COLLIER CNTY |  | NAPLES | FLORIDA |  | 0 |
| 00430200001 | NO SITE ADDRESS | DIERKER, CAROL ANN | 7 SAINT RAPHAEL DR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9121 | 0 |
| 00430160002 | NO SITE ADDRESS | JONES, ETHEL M | 8 SAINT RAPHAEL DR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9121 | 0 |
| 00430400005 | NO SITE ADDRESS | HOGE, KEN \& GRACE DRISKILL | 57 SAN REMO CIR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9117 | 0 |
| 00430360006 | NO SITE ADDRESS | TENAGLIO, JOSEPH=\& YOLANDA | 10 SAINT RAPHAEL DR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9121 | 0 |
| 00430120000 | NO SITE ADDRESS | COLE TR, ALLEN \& MARGARET |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | COLE FMY TRUST UTD 08/25/03 | 11 ST RAPHAEL DR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9121 | 0 |
| 00430081000 | NO SITE ADDRESS | PENNINGTON, HERMAN \& CLAR | 522 MONTE CARLO LN | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9114 | 0 |
| 00430080001 | NO SITE ADDRESS | CUNNINGHAM, JOHN \& MIL | 8 CANNES DR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9120 | 0 |
| 00430040009 | NO SITE ADDRESS | GOLIGHTLY, T \& A. JeFfrey | 9 CANNES DR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9120 | 0 |
| 00430000007 | NO SITE ADDRESS | SAMUELS, JOAN | 10 CANNES DR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9120 | 0 |
| 00429960006 | NO SITE ADDRESS | BOSWORTH, MARIE | 11 CANNES DR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9120 | 0 |
| 00429920004 | NO SITE ADDRESS | TEGTMEYER, JOHN | 7 SAINT TROPEZ DR | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9119 | 0 |
| 00429880005 | NO SITE ADDRESS | SMITH, DAVID \& HEATH, BRIAN | 5460 32ND AVE SW | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34116-8044 | 0 |
| 00429840003 | NO SITE ADDRESS | CHRISTIE, ALICE | 24 CENTER ST | EASTHAMPTON | MA | 01027-1438 | 0 |
| 00429800001 | NO SITE ADDRESS | BICHSEL, TIM \& MARY | 177 PORTSMOUTH ST | JACKSON | OH | 45640-1665 | 0 |
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| BALTIMORE |
| NAPLES |
| ALLENTOWN |
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| PAVLICK，ANDREW \＆YVONNE |
| :--- |
| DEOLIVEIRA，LEVY |
| SLACK，RICHARD L \＆JUNE M |
| BEAVER，THOMAS G \＆SANDRA |
| THOMPKINS，EDWARD \＆RENA |
| SAMPATHKUMAR，INDRANI |
| RAE，BENJAMIN \＆PAM BROWN |
| SARAFIANOS，DEAN A |
| ANDRESKY，MICHAEL \＆NANCY |
| MOROCCO，GARY \＆LINDA |
| BERNENS，SUE J |
| MAPLEWOOD HMOW ASSOC |
| MAPLEWOOD HMOW ASSOC |
| COLLIER CNTY |
| FOXIRE COMM ASSOC OF |
| BEISEL TR，WILLIAM R |
| PUCKETT，WESLEY \＆JEANNINE |
| ST PIERRE，KENNETH |
| DONEGAN，KAREN |
| BURRELL，NORMAN \＆JEAN |
| RIVARD，WILLIAM P |
| POFIT，MARTHA \＆JOSEPH |
| OWEN，RITA L |
| FISHBURN，GARY \＆CAROL S |
| JAMESON TR，BRADFORD |
| DUTHOY TR，EVERETTE J |
| DANIELS，ROBERT \＆JANET |
| RICCI，ROBERT \＆KATHRYN |
| ARNZEN ET AL TR，JEFFREY |
| JONES，ELIZABETH S |
| FLINN，WILLIAM \＆SUSAN |
| HOLLOWAY，JERRY \＆LAURA |
| GROVES，JOSEPH \＆MARY JO |
| BOWLIN JR TR，LEO |
| WLOCK TR，EUGENE \＆JUNE C |
| TILLOTSON，KARL \＆DELORES |
| LONG，DONALD \＆ANNA MAE |
| ALTARATZ，BEN AMI |
| MURPHY，FRANK \＆PHYLLIS |
| GULINO，CARMEN \＆NADINE |
| EDDLESTON，MARILYN |
| CASASSA，LEWIS \＆ROSE D |
| O＇BRIEN，NELSON \＆MARGARET |
| MC CLINTOCK，ROBERT |
| THERRIEN，GERARD \＆DORIS |
| DEL VECCHIO，VINCENT \＆ETHEL |

447 CROSSFIELD CIR 447 CROSSFIELD CIR

 431 CROSSFIELD CIR


 415 CROSSFIELD CIR 411 CROSSFIELD CIR 407 CROSSFIELD CIR NO SITE ADDRESS 153453 RADIO RD


 325 FOX DEN CIR 337 FOX DEN CIR


 401 FOX DEN CIR 413 FOX DEN CIR



 473 FOX DEN CIR


 601 KINGS WAY
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4
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| OWNER ‘S ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TUDOR COTTAGE GREENWAY, N. CURRY, SOMERSET |  | UK | TA3 6 - NQ |
| 925 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4934 |
| 1030 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4988 |
| 979 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-5109 |
| 985 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-5109 |
| 127 W BROAD ST | STAMFORD | CT | 06902-3714 |
| 997 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-5109 |
| 1030 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4988 |
| 1030 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4988 |
| 1030 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4988 |
| 5904 THREE IRON DR \#2104 | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34110-0000 |
| 1069 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4989 |
| 1081 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4989 |
| 1101 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4991 |
| 123 SAGAMORE HL | PARKERSBURG | WV | 26104-7646 |
| 6945 MICHAEL RD | MIDDLETOWN | OH | 45042-1431 |
| 1167 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4991 |
| 1189 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4991 |
| 10701 CROWN POINTE DR | UNION | KY | 41091-9253 |
| 1211 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4993 |
| 1291 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4993 |
| THE LANTER HOUSE OAKWOOD CL, CHISLEHURST KENT UK |  |  | BR7 5 - DD |
| 2151 FOXTROT CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4904 |
| 1259 FOXTROT CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4904 |
| PO BOX 14000 | NORTH PALM BEACH | FLORIDA | 33408-0420 |
| 104 FOXTAIL CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-0000 |
| 5564 FOXHUNT WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4903 |
| 1030 KINGS WAY | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4988 |
| 3048 ROUND TABLE LN | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-3643 |
| 3042 ROUND TABLE LN | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-3643 |
| 2240 DAVIS BLVD | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34104-4211 |
| 301 WILDBERRY RD | PITTSBURGH | PA | 15238-2121 |
| 3012 ROUND TABLE CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-3634 |
| 3006 ROUND TABLE CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-3634 |
| 3000 ROUND TABLE CT | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-3634 |
| 2745 WILD PINES LN APT 524 | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-4753 |
| 1044 CASTELLO DR STE 206 | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34103-1900 |
| 2800 AINTREE LN | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-9342 |
| GALGENBERG 38 | 71334 WAIBLINGEN | GERMANY |  |
| 2784 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-5494 |
| 1044 CASTELLO DR STE 206 | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34103-1900 |
| 2549 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-5456 |
| 2545 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-5456 |
| 2541 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES | FLORIDA | 34112-5456 |

OWNER
KEMP, PAMALA M

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |




 FOXFIRE COMM ASSOC OF K A E ENTERPRISES VIETS, ALAN \& ANN
BUMPUS, RAYMOND \& MARG WEBSTER, DAVID \& GAIL NORMAN TRS, PEGGY D MC GEE, LEE \& ALMA STAAB, JOHN ।
 BLACK, JAMES \& AUDRA M CHAMPELOVIER, FREDERICK AIREY CO-TRS, LOUISE WOLFE, MARY JANE SKINGLE, DOROTHY M
 CORRIS, JOHN R
DION, ROBERT \& L DION, ROBERT \& LAURIE
FOXFIRE COMM, ASSOC OF
 DELLONE, THEOD \& URSULA BURGER, HERBERT \& JANE茳 BOREHAM, ROBERT \& JENNIFER CALABRESE, CARL \& MARLENE J
 STEEPLECHASE OF NAPLES INC GREENWOOD VILLAGE HMOW KINGS LAKE H-OWNERS ASSOC CRIGGS, RAYMOND \& SUSAN E RHODE, EDWARD \& DONNA STUDE, JOSEPH \& MARIE

## PROPERTY ADDRESS

901 KINGS WAY NO SITE ADDRESS 979 KINGS WAY 985 KINGS WAY 991 KINGS WAY 997 KINGS WAY 997 KINGS WAY

 1047 KINGS WAY 1069 KINGS WAY 1081 KINGS WAY 1101 KINGS WAY




 1211 KINGS WAY 1291 KINGS WAY 1239 FOXTROT CT 2151 FOXTROT CT 1259 FOXTROT CT NO SITE ADDRESS 5563 FOXHUNT WAY 5564 FOXHUNT WAY


 3036 ROUND TABLE LN



 2995 KINGS LAKE BLVD NO SITE ADDRESS


 NO SITE ADDRESS

 2541 KINGS LAKE BLVD


|  | FLORIDA |
| :--- | :--- |
| $34112-5456$ |  |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5456$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5456$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5456$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5456$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5456$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5456$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5456$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5456$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5456$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5408$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5408$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5408$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5408$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5408$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5408$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5408$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5408$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5408$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5408$ |
| FLORIDA | $34145-3828$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5401$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5472$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5472$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5472$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5472$ |
| VT | $05402-0279$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-5472$ |
| FLORIDA | $34104-5317$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3736$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3736$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3736$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |


| 2537 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2533 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2529 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2525 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2521 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2517 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2513 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2509 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2505 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2501 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2499 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2497 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2493 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2489 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2485 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2481 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2477 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2473 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2469 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 2465 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 329 POLYNESIA CT | MARCO ISLAND |
| 2457 DORSET CT | NAPLES |
| 5271 BERKELEY DR | NAPLES |
| 5287 BERKELEY DR | NAPLES |
| 5303 BERKELEY DR | NAPLES |
| 5319 BERKELEY DR | NAPLES |
| PO BOX 279 | BURLINGTON |
| 5351 BERKELEY DR | NAPLES |
| 7701 DAVIS BLVD | NAPLES |
| 3594 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3596 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3598 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3600 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3602 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3604 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3606 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3608 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3610 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3612 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3614 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3616 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3618 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3620 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3622 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3624 KENT DR | NAPLES |
| 3626 KENT DR | NAPLES |


| ANTINARELLA，ROBERT |
| :--- |
| BOZEMAN，RANDOLPH |
| GENETSKI TR，HELEN |
| PERRINE，TORBETT H |
| BROWN JR，RICHARD \＆DEBRA |
| BENTLEY，SARINA |
| TABARLY，PIERRE |
| ADELS TR，RUTH M |
| GUITARD，JOSE \＆GERTRUDIS |
| PEER，JOHN \＆EVELYN M |
| CARABBA，JAMES \＆DEBORAH C |
| GIGLIO，PHILIP \＆ROSE |
| LOIACONO，VINCENT |
| GLODICH，ROBERT \＆ZAN MAYO |
| KUSINSKY，MICHAEL |
| ROMER，RUTH M |
| FELD，JEFFREY D |
| FRERICK TR，ELAINE SHIRLEY |
| BOCOCK，JHHN \＆AGNES |
| PLEASANCE，CHARLES |
| DOERINGER，RUSSELL \＆CAROL |
| STANLEY，JAMES \＆BOBBYE S |
| BRAINARD，ELLIOTT |
| MACK TR EST，THEODORE |
| CARICATO，MARTHA LETICIA |
| MILLER，TROY \＆ANGELA |
| DEMAG，DAVID P |
| MARSH，MICHAEL \＆KIM A |
| QUEENS PARK COMMUNITY |
| GRACEY JR，ROBERT \＆JOANNE |
| SWARTHOUT SR，CHRISTOPHER |
| LUTZ，WILLIAM \＆LINDA R |
| KOLLAR－POGHEN，CARLA |
| DAENZER－SAPP，MICHELE C |
| SAINT MARC，JEAN |
| CURRY III，WALTER PAUL |
| VALDEZ，JULIO \＆LYDIA |
| HENDRIX，LANCE \＆LISA |
| SMITH，THOMAS \＆JANELE |
| MUGGE，JAY \＆MARIE |
| GARNER，GARY \＆KAREN |
| BELCHER，RICHARD \＆SHARON |
| GRUBER，DAVID M |
| CAMILL，JOANN |
| LITZ，WILLIAM \＆VIRGINIA M |
| HOUCK，DAVID L |

2537 KINGS LAKE BLVD
会 2521 KINGS LAKE BLVD 2517 KINGS LAKE BLVD 2513 KINGS LAKE BLVD 2509 kINGS LAKE BLVD 2505 KINGS LAKE BLVD
 2499 KINGS LAKE BLVD
 2493 KINGS LAKE BLVD 2489 KINGS LAKE BLVD

 2477 KINGS LAKE BLVD 2473 KINGS LAKE BLVD

 2461 DORSET CT －岂 5287 BERK or 5319 BERKELEY DR

 131800 HIGHCROFT DR 3594 KENT DR 3596 KENT DR 3598 KENT DR苞 3602 KENT DR 3604 KENT DR 3606 KENT DR 3608 KENT DR 3610 KENT DR 3612 KENT DR 3614 KENT DR 3616 KENT DR 3618 KENT DR 3620 KENT DR 3622 KENT DR
 3626 KENT DR

53054000003 53053960005 53053920003 53053880004 53053840002 53053800000 53053760001 53053720009


 53002800006 53002760007 53002720005 53002680006 53002640004 53002600002 53002560003 53002480002 53002440000 53002400008 | n |
| :--- |
| O |
| O |
| 웅 |
| O |
| 0 |

 68991160004 68991200003

 68991280007 | O |
| :--- |
| $O_{0}$ |
| o |
| O |
| O |
| 0 |
| 0 | 69009381606


 69009382003
 69009382207

 \begin{tabular}{c}
$\bar{\circ}$ <br>
o <br>
o <br>
ò <br>
ò <br>
\hline 6

 

o <br>
N <br>
N <br>
N <br>
O <br>
ò <br>
\hline 0
\end{tabular}



 69009382906 69002500109 69002500154 69002500206

| STATE | ZIP CODE |
| :--- | :--- |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $33991-0000$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-0000$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3738$ |
| FLORIDA | $34103-3427$ |
| FLORIDA | $34113-3347$ |
| CT | $06412-1315$ |
| FLORIDA | $34112-3969$ |
| RI | $02919-2910$ |
| MA | $02190-1215$ |
| FLORIDA | $34284-2006$ |
| FLORIDA | $34284-2006$ |




PROPERTY ADDRESS $\qquad$
NO SITE ADDRESS





 FOLIO \#

[^0]| FOLIO \# | PROPERTY ADDRESS | OWNER | OWNER ‘S ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE | ACRES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24768111026 | NO SITE ADDRESS | BRIARWOOD DEVLP CORP | 3927 ARNOLD AVE | NAPLES | FL | 34104-3373 | 2.72 |
| 24768111107 | 1128 TIVOLI DR | BRIARWOOD DEVLP CORP | 3927 ARNOLD AVE | NAPLES | FL | 34104-3373 | 0.2 |
| 24768111084 | NO SITE ADDRESS | BRIARWOOD DEVLP CORP | 3927 ARNOLD AVE | NAPLES | FL | 34104-3373 | 4.41 |
| 24768111482 | 1023 TIVOLI LN | MUDERACK, PATRICIA M | 2 CLOS PEN-Y-FAI | CARMARTHENSHIRE | WALES | SA154-3J | 0.18 |
| 24768111822 | 1024 TIVOLI LN | STELLER, JAMES \& JENNIFER | 1024 TIVOLI LN | NAPLES | FL | 34104-0830 | 0.21 |
| 00294360006 | 1458 SAN MARCOS BLVD | vossler, Christine | 1458 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NAPLES | FL | 34104-3826 | 2.27 |
| 00294240003 | 1328 SAN MARCOS BLVD | BIDEN, JEFFREY \& LINDA C | 1328 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NAPLES | FL | 34101-3806 | 4.77 |
| 00293640002 | NO SITE ADDRESS | DE JOHN, LOUIS \& PHYLLIS D | 220 BLACKMAR ST | NEWARK | NJ | 14513-1204 | 4.77 |
| 27193000042 | 4005 FAMILY CIRCLE CT | COLLINS TR, MARILYN L | 4005 FAMILY CIRCLE CT | NAPLES | FL | 34104-7060 | 1.33 |
| 27193000084 | 4004 FAMILY CIRCLE CT | COLLINS, MARILYN | 4005 FAMILY CIRCLE CT | NAPLES | FL | 34101-7060 | 1.33 |
| 00292760006 | 1228 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NEVINS, BISHOP JOHN J |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DIOCESE OF VENICE | 1000 PINEBROOK RD | VENICE | FL | 34285-6426 | 4.78 |
| 00295720001 | NO SITE ADDRESS | NEVINS, BISHOP JOHN J |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DIOCESE OF VENICE | 1000 PINEBROOK RD | VENICE | FL | 34285-6426 | 4.77 |
| 00293160003 | 970 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NEVINS, BISHOP JOHN J |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DIOCESE OF VENICE | 1000 PINEBROOK RD | VENICE | FL | 34285-6426 | 4.77 |
| 00294840005 | 888 SAN MARCOS BLVD | SIPINKOSKI, ZLATE | 647 LAMBTON LN | NAPLES | FL | 34104-8305 | 2.39 |
| 00294880007 | 828 SAN MARCOS BLVD | STONEBURNER, JUDITH | PO BOX 8692 | NAPLES | FL | 34101-8692 | 2.39 |
| 00292920008 | 600 SAN MARCOS BLVD | SIPINKOSKI, GOCE | 600 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NAPLES | FL | 34104-3830 | 2.39 |
| 00292880009 | 580 SAN MARCOS BLVD | GENET, JOHN J \& LISA | 580 SAN MARCOS BLVD | NAPLES | FL | 34104-3828 | 2.39 |
| 00293400006 | 68 TRUMAN CIR | DAVIS JR TR, REES F | 150 N WACKER DR STE 900 | CHICAGO | IL | 60606-0000 | 41.34 |
| 54670000046 | NO SITE ADDRESS | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 00401201000 | NO SITE ADDRESS | COLLIER CNTY | 3301 TAMIAMI TRL E | NAPLES | FL | 34112-3969 | 0 |
| 54670000224 | 103 LEAWOOD CIR | CHACEZ, OSCAR ROMAN | 103 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000240 | 107 LEAWOOD CIR | POWER, CHRISTOPHER |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \& RENEE | 107 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000266 | 111 LEAWOOD CIR | GUAJARDO, SONIA | 111 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000282 | 115 LEAWOOD CIR | NAGY, JOSEPH | 115 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-0000 | 0 |
| 54670000305 | 119 LEAWOOD CIR | MEEK, STEPHEN \& MARY | 2553 LONGBOAT DR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-3327 | 0 |
| 54670000321 | 123 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000347 | 127 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000363 | 131 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000389 | 135 LEAWOOD CIR | ALVAREZ, ALIRIO | 135 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000402 | 139 LEAWOOD CIR | MARTINEZ, JUSTO \& NINA | 139 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000428 | 143 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN, TIMOTHY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \& STEPHANIE | 143 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000444 | 147 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000460 | 151 LEAWOOD CIR | PAMANKADA, ANANDA | 151 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000486 | 155 LEAWOOD CIR | JOHNSON, JEFFREY \& LISA | 155 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000509 | 159 LEAWOOD CIR | brown noltmeyer co | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000525 | 163 LEAWOOD CIR | JAMESON, RYAN C | 163 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000541 | 167 LEAWOOD CIR | PEREZ, JUAN \& ELIZABETH | 167 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000567 | 171 LEAWOOD CIR | brown noltmeyer co | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |


| FOLIO \# | PROPERTY ADDRESS | OWNER | OWNER ‘S ADDRESS | CITY | State | ZIP CODE | ACRES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54670000583 | 175 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000606 | 179 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000622 | 183 LEAWOOD CIR | ALMORA, HEBERT \& ZOILA | 183 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000648 | 187 LEAWOOD CIR | TRUJILLO, MARIA L | 187 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000664 | 191 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000680 | 195 LEAWOOD CIR | LAMPION, SERGIO | 195 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000703 | 199 LEAWOOD CIR | FALLA, JORGE | 199 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4158 | 0 |
| 54670000729 | 203 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000745 | 207 LEAWOOD CIR | brown noltmeyer co | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000761 | 211 LEAWOOD CIR | HAMADEY, NEIL J | 211 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4160 | 0 |
| 54670000787 | 215 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000800 | 219 LEAWOOD CIR | SKELTON, JOY L | 219 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4160 | 0 |
| 54670000826 | 223 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000842 | 227 LEAWOOD CIR | JOST, THOMAS \& BETH A | 227 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4160 | 0 |
| 54670000868 | 231 LEAWOOD CIR | ADAMS, STEVEN \& JANELL | 231 LEAWOOD CIR |  | FL | 34104-4160 | 0 |
| 54670000884 | 235 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000907 | 239 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000923 | 243 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000949 | 247 LEAWOOD CIR | COLEGROVE, ROBERT DALE | 247 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4160 | 0 |
| 54670000965 | 251 LEAWOOD CIR | WOODSTUFF, SCOTT \& |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MEAGHAN | 251 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4160 | 0 |
| 54670000981 | 255 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670001003 | 259 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670001029 | 263 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670001045 | 267 LEAWOOD CIR | MENDEZ, HUMBERTO | 267 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-0000 | 0 |
| 54670001061 | 271 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670001087 | 275 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670001100 | 279 LEAWOOD CIR | PALACIOS, JOSE | 279 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4160 | 0 |
| 54670001126 | 283 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670001142 | 287 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670001168 | 291 LEAWOOD CIR | MASTANDREA, TERRY L | 291 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4160 | 0 |
| 54670001184 | 295 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670001207 | 299 LEAWOOD CIR | CARREIRO, JOSE \& MARIA | 730 CROSSFIELD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4757 | 0 |
| 54670001223 | 303 LEAWOOD CIR | ANKENBAUER, CHARLES \& |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SYLVIA | 303 LEAWOOD CIR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-4162 | 0 |
| 54670001249 | 307 LEAWOOD CIR | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 54670000101 | NO SITE ADDRESS | BROWN NOLTMEYER CO | 2424 EAGLES EYRIE CT | LOUISVILLE | KY | 40206-2328 | 0 |
| 60605002702 | 910 MOON LAKE DR | PEARCE, GEORGE \& BARBARA | 910 MOON LAKE DR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-6603 | 0 |
| 60605000050 | 904 MOON LAKE DR | MOON LAKE DEV CO INC | 5026 ECLIPSE CT | NAPLES | FL | 34104-6601 | 0 |
| 60605002757 | 905 MOON LAKE DR | LADI, TIBOR K | 1040 MOON LAKE DR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-6601 | 0 |
| 00400600000 | NO SITE ADDRESS | CAYO COSTA ASSOC. INC | 1520 ROYAL PALM SQ.\#360 | FORT MYERS | FL | 33919-1053 | 6.07 |
| 60608000303 | NO SITE ADDRESS | MOON LAKE DEV CO | 5026 ECLIPSE CT | NAPLES | FL | 34104-6601 | 0 |
| 60608000442 | 1101 JARDIN DR | GOMORY, JAMES J | 1101 JARDIN DR | NAPLES | FL | 34104-6616 | 0.12 |
| 60608000426 | 1103 JARDIN DR | HUTCHINSON, GREGG \& |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ○すすすすすすすす |  |
|  |  |






BARBARA
HUTCHINS
HUTCHINSON，GREGG \＆ BARBARA

NOLASCO，PEDRO

 FERGUSON，ROBERTA UNG，HANS \＆BEATE JONES，DONALD R

CHU，ANDREW \＆MARIA
CLARKE，MATTHEW \＆DEBRA
 ZEGERS，WILHELMUS M
 PRATT，JON H

LUPO，MARINO JAMES
 FICARROTTA，FE DEO CROWN PT VILLAS

## HOMEOWNERS <br> GRUCHALLA，MANFRED

 MILLER，BRANDON J KNAPP，JEFFREY S FISHER，LEE \＆SHIRLEY M CROWN POINT VILLAS HOMEOWNERS

BATTISTE，JOSEPH
MAC DONALD，KAREN A HENNIG ET AL TR，JUNE V PATCHEY，ROSANNE HOLCOMB，GHAY \＆PHYLLIS GRESH，VERNON \＆MY RE \＆GL JOYAL LIVING TRUST KOSCIUSKO，GENEVIEVE
 PETERS，DOUGLAS \＆JOAN

60608000400
60608000387 60608000361 O 60608000329 60606002206 2154 606 60606001401 60606001401 60606001304 60606001252 60605500220 60605500204 60605500181 60605500165 60605500149 $n$
$\stackrel{N}{0}$
0
0
0
0
0 ${ }^{\circ}$ $\circ$
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 60605000209
29578000047

29578000225
 29578000267 29578000283 29578000306 29578000322 29578000089

29578000542 29578000568 29578000584 29578000607 29578000623 29578000649 29578000665 29578000681 29578000704 29578000720 29578000746 29578000762




| OWNER ‘S ADDRESS | CITY |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1937 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 25 SIOUX AVE | LAKE HIAWATHA |
| 1945 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 1953 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 1957 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 2468 KINGS LAKE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 1965 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 1969 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 1973 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 265 AIRPORT PULLING RD N | NAPLES |
| 2037 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 2041 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 109 TRANTOR PL | STATEN ISLAND |
| 7782 S US HIGHWAY 23 | GREENBUSH |
| 2053 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 2057 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 18505 CHRISTINA DR | LANSING |
| 2065 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 2069 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 2073 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 2077 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 1660 AVION PL | NAPLES |
| 265 AIRPORT PULLING RD N | NAPLES |
| 3026 W CROWN PT. BLVD | NAPLES |
| 12 ANNE ST | MOUNTAIN TOP |
| 1 OAK GROVE LN | POUGHKEEPSIE |
| 3042 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 3048 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 3054 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 3060 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 3066 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 3072 CROWN PT. BLVD W | NAPLES |
| 2477 J AND C BLVD | NAPLES |
| 3301 TAMIAMI TRL E | NAPLES |
| 763 CHARLEMAGNE CT | NAPLES |
| 759 CHARLEMAGNE CT | NAPLES |
| 755 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 751 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD | NAPLES |
| 1195 STONECREST DR | BLOOMFIELD HILLS |
| 635 CUSHING AVE | DAYTON |
| 9205 BELLEVUE RD | GROSSE ILE |
| 735 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD | NAPLES |






| 731 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD |
| :--- |
| 727 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD |
| 4743 EMERSON AVE N |
| 719 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD |
| 715 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD |
| 31 HOLLY HILL DR |
| 95 LE MANS DR |
| 93 LE MANS DR |
| 91 LE MANS DR |
| 89 LE MANS DR |
| 33 CHATEAU WAY |
| 31 CHATEAU WAY |
|  |
| 29 CHATEAU WAY |
| 27 CHATEAU WAY |
| 25 CHATEAU WAY |
| 8454 MALLARDS WAY |
| 21 CHATEAU WAY |
| 19 CHATEAU WAY |
| 17 CHATEAU WAY |
| 15 CHATEAU WAY |
| 13 CHATEAU WAY |
| 11 CHATEAU WAY |
| 70 CLINTON AVE |
| 5 CHATEAU WAY |
| RR 2 |
| 3 CHATEAU WAY |
| 63 LE MANS DR |
| 61 LE MANS DR |
| 107 TARA PKWY APT C |
| 788 SAINT ANDREWS BLVD |
| 55 LE MANS DR |
| 53 LE MANS DR |
| 771 KIRTS BLVD |
| 49 LE MANS DR |
| 47 LE MANS DR |
| 45 LE MANS DR |
| 43 LE MANS DR |
| 41 LE MANS DR |
| 39 LE MANS DR |
| 7 SAINT RAPHAEL DR |
| 8 SAINT RAPHAEL DR |
| GRACE M DRISKILL 57 |
| SAN REMO CIR |
| 10 SAINT RAPHAEL DR |

FAHNLEY, FRED \& ANNA
OSBORN, WARREN \& MARDELL
OLSON, VERNON \& MARY P
MART, GARY \& ELAINE J
MAILLETT TR, RICHARD J
RING TRS, JOSEPH \& BARBARA
WATSON, GEORGE \& PRISCILLA
JACKSON, CAROLYN A
BRASHER, JERRY \& LILLIAN
ICKES, SUE
SMITH, ROSEANNA K
CARMODY, ANNE
RODRIGUEZ, VICTOR \&
AUDELA
CIFALDI, PAUL\& DRUCILLA
OTTERSTEDT ET UX, EDWARD
SAMEK, STEVE M
SMITH, JEAN
PEHLKE JR, FRED
GERAGHTY, RITA E
REINHARDT, PAUL \& GERTRUDE
PROVOST, TERRY \& JUNE
HEEMSTRA TR, DORTHA E
PLOTKIN, GORDON
GROSSE, JOHN M
BOERIO, CAROLYN
SUMMY, MARY ANN
ESTEP, GLENDA J
MORSE TR, WINONA
HUTCHISON, JAY \& PAT
KOONTZ, ROBERT \& DARLENE
LAZO, LARRY GENE
EDWARDS, RITA
ZOLLER, RUDOLF \& INGEBORG
CUMBLIDGE, BILLIE
HOUSTON, HAROLD
BIGELOW, VEDA \& DONALD
MINISTRELLI, DANIELLA
RAMPATH, MOTILAL \& JASSODRA
LINDQUIST, RALPH \& DOROTHY
DIERKER, CAROL ANN
JONES, ETHEL
HOGE, KENNETH
TENAGLIO, JOSEPH \& YOLANDA
COLE TR, ALLEN \& MARGARET
731 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD
731 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD
727 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD
723 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD
719 CHARLEMAGNE BLVD
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5 CHATEAU WAY
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# APPENDIX F: DATABASE - COMMUNITY MEETINGS — PARTICIPANTS 

COLLIER COUNTY SIGN-IN SHEET OCTOBER 10, 2003

| NAME | ADDRESSS | HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| J.W. Smitrovich | 2041 W Crown Point | Villas of Crown Point |
| Bob Upshaw | 2004 W Crown Pointe |  |
| Joe Papesh | 2008 W Crown Pointe |  |
| Margaret Papesh | 2008 W Crown Pointe |  |
| Alice Upshaw | 2004 W Crown Pointe Blvd |  |
| Terry Clark | 1973 W Crown Pointe Blvd |  |
| Betty Clark | 1973 W Crown Pointe Blvd | Kings Lake |
| Don Olen | 2436 Duchess Ct. | Kings Lake |
| Sue Witham | 2104 Buckingham Lane | Royal Villas |
| Bob Fredrickson | 1756 Royal Circle | St. George Crown Point |
| Richard Marwell | 1723 Revven Circle |  |
| Richard Marwell | 273 Fox Den Circle |  |
| Joe Quinty | Collier County Transp. Planning |  |
| Bob Tipton | Collier County Transp. Planning |  |
| Tessie Sillery | Collier County Transp. Planning | Crown Point |
| Russ Muller | Collier County Transp. Planning | Crown Point |
| Ann Thiel | 3172 W Crown Pt. |  |
| Robert Collins | 2061 W Crown Pt Blvd | Collier County |
| Ted Litwin | 2675 S Horseshoe | Lakeport Villas |
| Eileen Webster | 2972 W Crown Pt. Blvd | Lakeport Villas |
| Marion Idler | 2972 W Crown Pt. Blvd | South Shore Villas |
| Herm Idler | 3060 W Crown Pt Blvd | Crown Point Villas |
| Barbara Poleschner | 32 Monaco Terrace | Villas at Crown Pointe |
| Joe Fletchner | 2077 W Crown Pointe Blvd |  |
| Sue Burnard | 5130 Rattlesnake Hammock Road |  |
| Jo Costil |  |  |
| Navin |  |  |

COLLIER COUNTY SIGN-IN SHEET AUGUST 14, 2003

| NAME | REPRESENTING | ATTENDED BOTH PUBLIC MEETINGS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tom Tomerlin | Collier County |  |
| Russ Muller | Collier County Engineering | Y |
| Joe Adams | Comm. Preservation |  |
| Sandra Townsend | CCPRD |  |
| Joe Quinty | CC Transportation planning | Y |
| Ed Lanigan | Lakeport Villas @ Crown Pointe |  |
| Cindy Connor | Riviera Colony | Y |
| Alan Viets | Coxfire |  |
| Joe Fletcher | Collier County | Y |
| Bob Peterson | Collier Traffic Ops. | Y |
| Tessie Sillery | Collier County Transport | Y |
| Pam Lulich | FPL |  |
| Grover Whidden | Collier County |  |
| Jaime Jerde | Moon Lake |  |
| Alex Devantier | Moon Lake |  |
| Gus Pfaff | Moon Lake |  |
| John Enlow |  |  |

RIVIERA COLONY COMMUNITY MEETING JANUARY 15, 2004

| NAME | ADDRESSS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Max Koester | 56 San Remo |
| Nora Gibson-Butz | 17 Monaco Terrace |
| June \& HC Atchley | 13 Monaco Terrace |
| Earl Sweitzer | 14 Monaco Terrace |
| LaDonna \& Helmut Kaukver | 9 Monaco Terrace |
| Charlene \& Davy Coopersmith | 10 Monaco Terrace |
| John Tegtmeyer | 7 St. Tropez Dr. |
| H.S. Buzz Bosworth | 11 Cannes Dr |
| Mary Bichsel | 10 St. Tropez |
| Jim Maggard | 12 Monaco Terrace |
| Roy Rymer | 26 Monaco Terrace |
| Jon \& Judy Green | 27 Monaco Terrace |
| Pat Hall | 455 Riviera Blvd |
| Margaret Cole | 11 St. Raphael Dr |
| Yolanda Tenaglio | 10 St. Raphael Dr |
| Kenneth Hoge | 27 San Remo Circle |
| Albert DiMarcello | 55 San Remo Circle |
| Regis Cunningham | 19 Monaco Terrace |
| John Cunningham | 8 Cannes Drive |
| Barry \& Cheryl Bowers | 20 Monaco Terrace |
| Herman \& Clare Pennington | 7 Cannes Drive |
| Don \& Jeanette Luwick | 9 St. Raphael Drive |

WEST CROWN POINTE OPEN HOUSE JANUARY 16, 2004

| NAME | ADDRESS | ASSOCIATION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bob Fredrickson | 1756 Royal Circle | Crown Pointe |
| John G | 3142 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Herm Idler | 2972 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| John Faher | 2884 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Barbara Poleschner | 3060 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Marion Idler | 2972 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Charles Assortato | 2390 Piccadilly | Crown Pointe |
| Joe Roe | 3460 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Dick Steward | 2053 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Marilyn Steward | 2053 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Ed Lanigan | 2896 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Marion Roman | 1130 Jardin Dr. | Moon Lake BOD |
| Alex Devantier | 1154 Jardin Dr | Moon Lake BOD |
| Don \& Jeanette Lesnick | 9 St. Raphael Dr | Riviera Colony |
| Joe \& Yolanda Tenaglio | 10 St Raphael | Riviera Colony |
| Alice Upshaw | 2004 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Joe Fletcher | 2020 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Ted Litwin |  | PAC |
| Albert Pike | 3270 W Crown Pointe | Crown Pointe |
| Ed Rooney | 2420 Kings Lake Blvd | Kings Lake |
| Chuck Nuechterlem | 2432 Duchess Ct | Kings Lake |
| Kelly Robinson |  | DOH |
|  |  |  |

## APPENDIX G: COMMUNITY MEETINGS — QUESTIONS AND CONCERNS

## COMMUNITY MEETINGS • JANUARY I5 -I6, 2004

FOX FIRE BOARD MEETING • JANUARY 15, 2004

1) What kind of security?
2) What path materials will be used?
3) Will the county maintain it?
4) Where will it be located?
5) Davis Blvd. intersection crossing?
6) Opposition from adjacent owners?
7) Will the entire right-of-way be maintained into a park atmosphere?
8) What about liability?
9) What type of intersection crossing will be used?
10) What is the overall width of the easement?
11) Will there be monetary expenses to the landowner?
12) What specifically can they do to support the project?
13) What are Norm Feeder's thoughts?
14) If the easement is secured then the county may have only one option to run through the golf course.
15) There is bike/ped access between Moon Lake and Fox Fire, please be cautious about letting people roam through the communities.

RIVIERA COMMUNITY MEETING • JANUARY 15, 2004

1) How will we deal with property ownership?
2) How much land will be taken?
3) Will the gate be opened?
4) The reason why they purchased the lots if for storage and security, will this still be provided?
5) Will there be a fence to secure area?
6) Who will fight the road and how soon will the trail be built?
7) Who says the county will not condemn the trail?
8) Can you build on the canal?
9) Are you aware that the water management district has plans to widen the canal?
10) Who will maintain the trail?
11) Do you propose any conditions to clean up the canal?
12) Who funds the trail?
13) What happens to the trail at rattlesnake Hammock Road?
14) Are you separate from road builders?
15) You want 20 feet and the county can build a road in the future, we want assurance that a road will not be built.
16) Will vehicles be allowed?
17) What about access to trail from Riviera if fence is built?
18) How much time do we have to think about it?
19) I want a nice privacy fence.
20) What type of easement is needed on the other side of the canal?

## WEST CROWN POINTE MEETING • JANUARY 16, 2004

1) Will there be landscaping so it doesn't look like a mini road?
2) Where does the money come from to maintain it?
3) Do you put any area to buffer our backyards from the trail?
4) Are users going to have open access to our yards?
5) Would fencing money come from the project budget?
6) If one community wants a wall would they pay for it?
7) Is this area going to be lighted?
8) What progress has been made since the last meeting, is 18 months still realistic?
9) Where does RTC funding come from?
10) Does RTC get funding from the federal government?
11) What sense of feeling are you getting so far?
12) How do you plan to get across Davis Blvd?
13) Will the communities have access if there is a trail?

## APPENDIX H: SAMPLE EASEMENT

## TRAIL EASEMENT

THIS TRAIL EASEMENT is made and entered into this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2004 by and between $\qquad$ (hereinafter referred to as "Grantor"), and COLLIER COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Florida (hereinafter referred to as "Grantee"). Wherever used herein the terms "Grantor" and "Grantee" include all the parties to this instrument and their respective heirs, legal representatives, successors and assigns. Grantor is used for singular or plural, as the context requires.

## WITNESSETH:

Grantor, for and in consideration of TEN DOLLARS (\$10.00) and other valuable consideration paid by the Grantee, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, hereby conveys, grants, bargains and sells unto the Grantee, a perpetual, non-exclusive easement for the purpose of construction and maintenance of a public pedestrian and bicycling trail upon and across the following described lands located in Collier County, Florida, to wit:

See attached Exhibit "A" which is incorporated herein by reference.
Subject to easements, restrictions, and reservations of record.
The trail shall be constructed and maintained in conformance with generally accepted design standards, and may include paved and unpaved trail surfaces, at-grade shoulders, vegetative buffers (with irrigation), benches, and such improvements as necessary to comply with all lawful requirements, including the Americans with Disabilities Act. Grantor hereby declares and covenants that the general public shall have and be allowed regular access to the Trail Easement Area, for the purpose of walking, jogging, running, bicycling and like activities, but specifically excluding all motorized vehicles except as authorized by Grantee for maintenance, management, police and emergency purposes.
Grantee shall have the right to regulate public access to, and activities within, the Trail Easement Area, and shall further have the right to require Grantor to keep the Trail Easement Area free from obstructions which prevent reasonable public access to and along the Trail Easement Area, including but not limited to structures, fences and fallen trees.

The easement granted herein shall constitute an easement running with the land in perpetuity and shall burden the lands described above.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Grantor has caused these presents to be executed the date and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in our presence as witnesses: Grantor(s)
(1)

Signature
Printed/Typed Name $\qquad$
Signature
Printed/Typed Name: $\qquad$
(2)

Signature
Printed/Typed Name: $\qquad$
Signature
Printed/Typed Name: $\qquad$

STATE OF FLORIDA_COUNTY OF COLLIER
The foregoing Easement was acknowledged before me, the undersigned authority, on this $\qquad$ day of ——, 2004, by $\qquad$ who ( ) are personally known to me or
( ) produced $\qquad$ as identification.

Notary Public/State of Florida
Name:
$\qquad$
My Commission expires: $\qquad$

Han jucrinutixid



Lhear Commisciuner
1 在施




¢!
 lasi's ,


 grevirnay jarh

Sinturiciv,

Tumand


Cinnmidily Aysir. Manker


E



Nons. Fl. ?









 :



R.:
 ! :






## APPENDIXJ：MEDIA

##  <br> $\qquad$ <br> ＇Greenmay＂trail plans beiny finatlized for East Natples area
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## APPENDIX K:ABOUT THE PROJECT STUDY TEAM

## BORRELLI \& ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS PLANNERS, P.A.

Borrelli \& Associates Architects Planners, P.A. is an MBE professional association with offices in Miami and Orlando, Florida providing professional services in the disciplines of architecture, planning, landscape design and interior design.

Established in 1968, Borrelli \& Associates has developed a reputation for design excellence while maintaining the highest technical quality and an unparalleled track record of delivering projects on-time and on-budget. Throughout this long professional involvement, the firm has provided services for a wide range of public, private and corporate clients within the state of Florida, as well as other states and abroad.

The firm has been responsible for the development of solid and imaginative design solutions for a multitude of complex programs and project types. These include commercial/corporate office buildings, hotels, motels, single and multifamily housing, retail, recreational, medical/healthcare, manufacturing, convention centers, performing arts centers, educational and other municipal type facilities.

Our success in producing this varied volume of work is due to an unyielding commitment to quality and the involvement of the firm's experienced principals and professional staff.

Our professional staff, consisting of architects, landscape architects, interior designers and planners, is prepared to provide thorough project administration, site analysis, design and construction documents, cost evaluation and construction observation.

Also available with our in-house expertise are special services such as programming, feasibility studies, zoning studies, land use, urban design, space planning and furniture/equipment purchasing and installation.

## VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC. (VHB)

With a staff of more than 650 professional engineers, planners, and scientists in 13 offices along the East Coast, VHB incorporates a diverse skills base and is able to satisfy the staffing requirements of major projects. The combined project experience and demonstrated abilities of our staff provides clients with the highest level of professional service and results that exceed expectations. Our success is reflected in VHB being ranked number 87 in the ENR top 500 firms.

VHB established our Florida Operations in 1984 to respond to the growing transportation needs in Central Florida. Since then, we have expanded the range of Transportation, Land Development and Environmental services that we provide to public and private clients from our offices in Orlando and Palmetto. Our staff of more than 50 professional technical and support personnel work on assignments all over the state, as far north as Jacksonville and as far south as the Florida Keys. Recent trail projects that have been recognized include the Sheldon Transportation Path and Bridge project, which has been chosen as a 2003 recipient of the American Council of Engineering Companies Award of Merit; VHB was honored with the American Trails 2002 National Trails Planning/Design Award for our work on the Blackstone Bike Trail.

## APPENDIX L:ABOUT RAILS-TO-TRAILS CONSERVANCY

Founded in 1986, Rails-to-Trails Conservancy (RTC) was created to breathe new life into abandoned rail lines by converting them to multi-use trails for public use nationwide. Prior to that time, there were only 200 open railtrails in the country and more than half of the nation 's 300,000-mile railroad network laid unused or abandoned. Since its founding, RTC has helped convert more than 12,000 miles of abandoned railroad corridors into America's newest parklands, enjoyed by more than 100 million users a year: places like the Cape Cod Rail-Trail, Nebraska 's Cowboy Trail, the San Diego Bay Shore Bikeway, the Illinois Prairie Path, the Capital Crescent Trail in Washington, D.C. and hundreds of others. Now 18 years old, Rails-to-Trails Conservancy has become the largest and most widely respected trails organization in America. In addition, Rail-to-Trails Conservancy is the only national group devoted to preserving railroad corridors by converting them to multi-use trails.

RTC is a 501 (c)(3) nonprofit organization with: 43 employees distributed among six regional offices in California, Florida, Massachusetts (serving New England), Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania and headquarters in Washington, D.C.; more than 100,000 members and supporters nationwide; a 13-member board of directors that meets three times a year; an operating budget of $\$ 6$ million dedicated to trail building, corridor preservation, open space protection, public education and advocacy; five national and three international Trails and Greenways Conferences to date, the most recent in June 2003 in Providence, Rhode Island, attended by more than 500 trail activists; a full-color quarterly magazine, Rails to Trails, and a highly-visited family of linked Web sites (accessible at www.railtrails.org) addressing a broad range of information from trail finder databases for the everyday user to technical counsel on trail purchase and construction; recognition from a diverse mix of interests, including health, environment, government and recreation, such as:

- Lead private sector partner in the White House Millennium Trails Program that identified and celebrated 16 National Millennium Trails, 51 Millennium Legacy Trails and more than 1,000 Millennium Community Trails;
- The Presidential Award for Sustainable Development by The President 's Council of Sustainable Development;
- The Biennial Trails Service Award from American Trails;
- Sponsorship from The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation to bring public health officials to our 2001 and 2003 conferences to create an exchange and address a common agenda between the trail and health communities;
- The American Greenways Dupont Award from the Conservation Fund, Dupont Corporation and the National Geographic Society.


## TRAOLDART

TRAIL Development Assistance Response Team
A Service of Rails-to-Trails Conservancy


Rails-to-Trails Conservancy • 1100 Seventeenth Street N.W., 10th Floor • Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: 202-331-9696 • Fax: 202-331-9680 • www.railtrails.org
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